

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,523	07/19/2005	Koichi Tanaka	112857-455	3577
29175 7590 10242908 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP P. O. BOX 1135			EXAMINER	
			SCULLY, STEVEN M	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/537.523 TANAKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Steven Scully 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-15.19.20.25 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-15, 19-20, and 25-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/537,523

Art Unit: 1795

FUEL CELL MOUNT APPARATUS AND ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

Examiner: Scully S.N.: 10/537,523 Art Unit: 1795 October 11, 2008

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Amendment filed September 11, 2008 has been entered. Claims 1-12, corresponding to claims 13-24 of a preliminary amendment, were canceled prior to first action and claims 13-24 are accordingly appropriately being considered herein. Claims 16-18 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer and claims 25 and 26 have been newly added. Accordingly, claims 13-15 and 19-26 are pending in the application.
- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

 The previous rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn because claim 3 has been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The previous rejections of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Gamo et al. (US5,976,725) have been withdrawn because these claims have been canceled.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,523 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The previous rejections of claims 1-3, corresponding to claims 13-15, under 35
 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamura (US6,421,585) in view of Gamo et al. (US5,976,725) have been withdrawn in light of the Amendment.

- The previous rejection of claim 8, corresponding to claim 20, under 35 U.S.C. §
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamura (US6,421,585) in view of Gamo et al.
 (US5,976,725) and Roth (US6,522,096) has been withdrawn in light of the Amendment.
- Claims 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gamo et al. (US5,976,725) in view of Zhang et al. (US2002/011335).

With respect to claims 13-15 and 19, Gamo discloses a fuel cell mount apparatus comprising a fuel cell (1) capable of power generation by use of a fuel and air (Column 4, Lines 20-43) and an electronic apparatus having said fuel cell mounted thereon and being operated by electric power outputted from said fuel cell. A DC/DC converter (504) is used in common for said fuel cell and said electronic apparatus (Figure 22; Column 17, Lines 10-23). Gamo discloses the electronic apparatus has a plurality of drive sections requiring electric power: display (5011) and CPU (5012) and so on (Column 17, Lines 10-33). Gamo does not disclose a plurality of power generation sections.

However, it is well known in the fuel cell art to use more than one unit cell in series or parallel to increase the voltage or current, respectively, as taught by Zhang. Zhang discloses stacking a membrane electrode assembly in various combinations to

Art Unit: 1795

provide fuel cells with more or fewer reaction zones and membranes ([0043]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the plurality of power generation sections of the fuel cell stack of Zhang in the fuel cell of Gamo for the benefit of the providing the fuel cell with a higher voltage and/or current output.

Gamo is silent with regard to an electric power supply means for supplying electric power to a predetermined drive section of said plurality of drive sections.

Zhang discloses a fuel cell system for powering electronic devices used far below the surface of the water in downhole or subsea locations ([0037]). The art is analogous in that the fuel cell system of Zhang is used to power an electronic device. Zhang further discloses the system to have a hybrid power system comprising a fuel cell (802) and a battery (804) (Figure 14; [0078]). The fuel cell and rechargeable battery are "electrically connected to provide power across a load 806. ...When coupled with a battery (804), the fuel cell (802) does not need to generate the maximum power output required for a short duration peak load. This hybrid system (800) is particularly suitable for multiple level power consumption requirements where a majority of time an average base level power requirement is needed and a relatively small amount of time there is a short-term peak power load. The rechargeable batteries (804) can be charged by the fuel cell (802) during the average power consumption periods and can be used to boost the output power during the high power consumption periods.

Art Unit: 1795

"The hybrid system (800) may be able to reduce the size, weight and cost of the total power system by enabling a smaller fuel cell (802), in conjunction with a battery (804), to provide a required power demand (806)." ([0078-0079]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the drive sections of Gamo with the hybrid power system of Zhang for the benefit of reduction in size, weight and cost of the total power system by enabling a smaller fuel cell, in conjunction with a battery, to provide a required power demand. Further, when a battery is coupled with a fuel cell, the fuel cell does not need to generate the maximum power output required for a short duration peak load, as taught by Zhang ([0078]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to couple a battery with the fuel cell and drive sections of Gamo because Zhang teaches it provides peak load requirements.

 Claims 13-15, 19 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamura (US6,421,585) in view of Gamo et al. (US5,976,725) and Zhang et al. (US2002/011335).

With respect to claims 13-15 and 19, Takamura discloses an electronic apparatus 1 having a battery 21 mounted thereon and being operated by electric power outputted from said battery (Figure 1: Column 3. Lines 43-48).

Takamura does not disclose the power source to be a fuel cell.

Gamo discloses a fuel cell mount apparatus comprising a fuel cell 1 capable of power generation by use of a fuel and air (Column 4, Lines 20-43) and an electronic

Art Unit: 1795

apparatus having said fuel cell mounted thereon and being operated by electric power outputted from said fuel cell. A DC/DC converter (504) is used in common for said fuel cell and said electronic apparatus (Figure 22; Column 17, Lines 10-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the fuel cell and DC/DC converter of Gamo as the power source system for the electronic apparatus of Takamura for the benefit of providing an environmentally friendly power supply that can realize a small-sized and lightweight power source usable for a long time (Column 16, Line 66-Column 17, Line 2).

Takamura further discloses actuators (23A-23N) provided respectively at articulation joints of legs (4A-4D) and other joints. The actuators (23A-23N) are driven under control of electronic circuit installed within the body portion (3). The actuators are driven to produce movement of the electronic apparatus (Column 3, Lines 49-59). These actuators are a plurality of drive sections. It is appreciated that the power generation unit would supply power to the drive sections.

Takamura does not disclose a plurality of power generation sections.

However, it is well known in the fuel cell art to use more than one unit cell in series or parallel to increase the voltage or current, respectively, as taught by Zhang. Zhang discloses stacking a membrane electrode assembly in various combinations to provide fuel cells with more or fewer reaction zones and membranes ([0043]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the plurality of power generation sections of the fuel cell stack of Zhang

Art Unit: 1795

in the fuel cell of Gamo for the benefit of the providing the fuel cell with a higher voltage and/or current output.

Takamura modified by Gamo is silent with regard to an electric power supply means for supplying electric power to a predetermined drive section of said plurality of drive sections.

Zhang discloses a fuel cell system for powering electronic devices used far below the surface of the water in downhole or subsea locations ([0037]). The art is analogous in that the fuel cell system of Zhang is used to power an electronic device. Zhang further discloses the system to have a hybrid power system comprising a fuel cell (802) and a battery (804) (Figure 14; [0078]). The fuel cell and rechargeable battery are "electrically connected to provide power across a load (806). ...When coupled with a battery (804), the fuel cell (802) does not need to generate the maximum power output required for a short duration peak load. This hybrid system (800) is particularly suitable for multiple level power consumption requirements where a majority of time an average base level power requirement is needed and a relatively small amount of time there is a short-term peak power load. The rechargeable batteries (804) can be charged by the fuel cell (802) during the average power consumption periods and can be used to boost the output power during the high power consumption periods.

"The hybrid system (800) may be able to reduce the size, weight and cost of the total power system by enabling a smaller fuel cell (802), in conjunction with a battery (804), to provide a required power demand (806)." (10078-00791).

Art Unit: 1795

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the drive sections of Takamura with the hybrid power system of Zhang for the benefit of reduction in size, weight and cost of the total power system by enabling a smaller fuel cell, in conjunction with a battery, to provide a required power demand.

With respect to claims 25 and 26, Takamura discloses the electronic apparatus is a dog robot where the drive sections are motors (23A-23N) individually mounted to each of the joint portions for joint movement. See Column 3, Lines 49-59.

 Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Takamura (US6,421,585) in view of Gamo et al. (US5,976,725) and Zhang et al. (US2002/011335) as applied to claims 13-15, 19 and 25-26 above, and further in view of Roth (US6522096).

With respect to claim 20, Takamura does not disclose the electronic apparatus having a plurality of drive sections and said fuel cell has the same number of power generation sections as the number of said plurality, said power generation sections disposed respectively in the vicinity of said drive sections, and said drive sections are supplied with electric power respectively from the corresponding power generation sections.

Roth discloses a control circuit for a robot power supply. As discussed by Roth, a single power supply is disadvantageous in that high cabling expenditure is involved.

Also, electromagnetic and line-linked disturbances or interference cannot be excluded

Art Unit: 1795

and must be considered. Further, high costs are involved for cooling and safety assurance (Column 1, Lines 19-43). In addressing these problems, each motor of an installation having several motors is provided with its own driver unit. As a result of the subdivided design of the power supply through the use of individual driver units for each motor, it is possible to position the individual driver units close to the mechanism, and in particular close to the individual motors associated therewith. This results in minimum cabling costs as well as significant reduction in electromagnetic and line-linked interference. Further, the costs for cooling and assuring the necessary safety are kept low (Column 1, Lines 45-67). It is noted that the power supply device is the modular drivers 4.1-4.6 for each motor 1.1-1.6 (Figure 1; Column 4, Lines 29-31). In the case of Roth, the plurality of drive sections are the motors 1.1-1.6 and the same number of power generation sections are the drive units 4.1-4.6.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a plurality of drive sections with a plurality of power generation sections disposed in the vicinity of said drive sections for supplying electric power respectively from the corresponding power generation sections to the drive sections for the benefit of reducing cabling expenditure, electromagnet and line-linked disturbances or interference and costs for cooling and safety assurance as discussed above (Column 1, Lines 19-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/537,523 Art Unit: 1795

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed September 11, 2008 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive. Applicant argues:

a) Use of Takamura with Gamo and Zhang suggests Gamo and Zhang, on their

own, are not sufficient in scope to render the claimed invention obvious.

b) Independent claim 13 now recites the limitations of claims 16-18.

Regarding argument a), the use of Takamura is immaterial to the rejections in view of Gamo and Zhang. Takamura discloses a dog robot with many of the features of

the instant application and was thus included in a further rejection, however Gamo and

Zhang do disclose an electronic apparatus with the claimed features as discussed

above.

With regard to argument b), the limitations of claims 16-18 stand rejected in

independent claim 13 because Takamura in view of Gamo and Zhang provide a system

having a plurality of drive sections powered by a plurality of power generation sections

and a means for supplying a predetermined drive section with a larger load variation, as

discussed above with regard to claim 13.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

 \S 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/537,523

Art Unit: 1795

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact/Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Scully whose telephone number is (571)270-5267. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on (571)272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,523

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795